



**Residents Meeting held on Wednesday 16th July 2014 at 6.30p.m.
at Ketley Parish Community Centre**

Present:-	David Churm (DC)	-	TMC Co-ordinator
	Lee Tinsley (LT)	-	Resident Director
	Wendy Brodmann (WB)	-	Resident
	Alan Petford (AP)	-	Resident
	Julie Mansell (JM)	-	Resident
	Peter Mansell (PM)	-	Resident
	Malcolm Page (MP)	-	Resident
	Bernadette Page (BP)	-	Resident
	Veronica Buller (VB)	-	Resident
	David Buller (DB)	-	Resident
	Jane Davis (JD)	-	Resident
	Sianne Fellowes (SF)	-	Sanctuary Home Ownership

1. Welcome

DC welcomed all those present and a general discussion was held regarding DC's role – who was he employed by? DC stated he was employed/paid by Sanctuary. JD stated that the stewardship charge paid for DC's role. DC explained his remit was the link between the residents and the Trust Board (ie Taylor Wimpey, HCA etc.)

WB brought up the issue of who to contact if she had an issue concerning her tenancy. DC explained that this should be the Sanctuary Housing Officer but there was a 'cross over' of duties between his role and that of the Housing Officer for TMC.

A general discussion took place about contact with the Sanctuary office and getting communicating with residents.

2. Apologies

Richard Davis

3. Matters Arising (notes of the meeting held on 16th April 2014

DC explained that a lot of the information in this part of the meeting would overlap with agenda item 4.

Litter Bins

DC said he had now got approval from the Board to site litter bins on TMC particularly on the footpath that runs from the Holyhead Road down to the MUGA. DC suggested that the dual 'litter and dog waste' type of bins be provided. Agreed by all those in attendance.

Dog Fouling

DC explained this was still an ongoing problem but the meeting generally agreed this had improved over the last few months. DC said he had got approval from the Board to erect signage prohibiting dog fouling and had sourced some self print ones which he said could be trialled in the worst area ie the footpath that runs from Holyhead Road to the MUGA before money was spent on 'proper' signs. LT said he was against signage on TMC and also there was a general opinion that they would be ignored. DC also explained that as the land was not yet adopted the Council would not supply and erect but was also unsure as to whether as the land was privately owned at present what fine could be imposed.

LT suggested that a dispenser which held bags for use by dog owners could be supplied as in a local park – this was agreed. **DC to look into supplying these.**

MUGA

VB said there had been a problem with litter in this area. DC said that Ketley Parish Council (KPC) now have someone who clears this. VB queried responsibility for this and DC said it was KPC. DC inspects regularly and reports to KPC.

Landscaping

DC explained that this had been discussed at length with the Board and also at other stakeholder meetings. The issue with the landscaped areas which have missing shrubs etc. or where these have died is being addressed by the Homes and Communities Agency (HCA) and Telford & Wrekin Council (TWC). DC said this was proving a little problematic due to location of plans as to what should have been/was originally planted.

DC and LT said they would pursue this through the Board.

Issue raised by MP on ownership of strip of landscaped area in front of 31 to 51 Ketley Park Road (KPR). DC confirmed this was not under stewardship but subject to adoption by TWC. **DC to write to all residents at 31 to 51 KPR to confirm this.**

DC confirmed that following newsletter article about gardens that a follow up letter had been delivered to those residents asking them to tidy their gardens.

WB mentioned properties for sale 41/43 Glen Cottages gardens were unkempt.

Community Events

DC reported there was still no appetite for community events and had provisionally scheduled a Halloween and Christmas event. DC reported that no residents attended the Ketley History Group walk on 19th July but he enjoyed the walk with the group.

Retail Unit

DC reported that as far as he was aware there had been no formal interest in this.

Notice Board

DC updated the meeting on this matter and apologised for not progressing further as there were certain issues that needed to be sorted with Sanctuary etc. before work could commence. The noticeboard should now be able to be sited in the office window.

Car Parking

In relation to cars parking on the wide pavement at the entrance to TMC VB reported this was improved and she had spoken to some people about this. DC said he had put notes on cars but will still monitor.

JM and LT also reported issues with parking but agreed this was difficult to police.

4. Feedback from Board meeting – 11th June 2014

Most of the issues were covered under agenda item 3 but DC reported that the Board had agreed to bring forward the next Resident Board Director appointment and following the newsletter there had been 5 expressions of interest to date.

5. Community/Estate Issues

Covenants

MP brought up the issue of one of the covenants and in particular a point about washing being hung outside windows at the apartment blocks. DC reported the decision of the Board was that it was a /non issue'. JD asked about the reason for this decision to which DC said there was non given.

A protracted discussion took place about this subject with the outcome being that JD believed it was not in the remit or terms of reference of the Board to make decisions about whether a breach is acceptable or not and especially not using complaints received as a measure. JD further pointed out that restrictive covenants are legally binding, are not open to interpretation and that a clear and consistent set of procedures to follow should be set out in the event of a breach, with the advice of the legal team that wrote the covenants. DC suggested that representations by the residents be made to the Board about the way in which covenants are deal with but this was rejected by all those present.

DC to seek clarification

DC suggested a representation is made to the Board expressing their strong feeling about this matter.

Speeding

DC said he had brought this matter up with stakeholders as 2 residents had complained. DC also said he was concerned about the speed some vehicles were travelling not only along the spine road but also homezone areas. DC said he had been advised to contact the Safer Neighbourhoods Policing Team which he had done. It was agreed that a machine displaying vehicle speeds be looked into. **DC to investigate**

PCSO

Residents asked for attendance by the PCSO at next meeting. **DC to contact and invite**

Section 38

A brief discussion took place about this and DC said that before TWC took over the areas subject to S38 all repairs would need to be done by developer etc.

6. Community Engagement

This was covered under matters arising.

7. Phase 3

No time to discuss

8. AOB

None but covered elsewhere on agenda

9. Date of next Meeting

TBC